ReedSmith

Patrick E. Bradley Direct Phone: +1 609 524 2044 Email: pbradley@reedsmith.com SINCE THIS MATTER HOS

NOT BEEN REFERRED TO ME,

THIS APPLICATION SHOPLY BE

MADE TO JUDGE JONES

Reed Smith LLP Princeton Forrestal Village 136 Main Street - Suite 250 Princeton, NJ 08540-7839 +1 609 987 0050 Fax +1 609 951 0824 www.reedsmith.com

April 21, 2008

VIA FACSIMILE Honorable Henry Pitman United States Magistrate Judge U.S.D.C. - S.D.N.Y.Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 500 Pearl St., Room 750 New York, New York 10007

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 4-22-08

Melanie Lidle, et al. v. Cirrus Design Corporation; 08-CV-01253 (BSJ)

Dear Judge Pitman:

We are writing pursuant to L. Civ. R. 37.2 to request an informal conference with the Court to address plaintiffs' refusal to produce certain documents in discovery. We have made good faith efforts to resolve the pending discovery dispute with plaintiffs' counsel; however our discussions have been fruitless. Accordingly, we respectfully ask this Court to conduct an informal conference to address the issues outlined below.

Defendant Cirrus Design Corporation ("Cirrus") has requested that plaintiffs' produce medical records and fully executed HIPAAs for Cory Lidle for each of his health care providers for the past 10 years so that Cirrus may obtain his medical records. Plaintiffs have objected, stating that Cirrus may obtain these documents without an authorization. Under federal law, we cannot.

Cirrus also requested that Plaintiffs produce employment authorizations for each of Mr. Lidle's employers for the past 10 years. Plaintiffs also objected to producing these authorizations, stating that Cirrus could obtain these documents without an authorization. Plaintiffs stated they would produce such authorizations only if we had difficulty obtaining the underlying employment records without an authorization. However, this objection lacks any legal foundation and is offered only to hinder the discovery of documents that Cirrus is entitled to obtain.

In consideration of the fact discovery end date of May 16th, we ask this Court to conduct an informal conference as soon as possible so these issues may be resolved and discovery in this matter may

proceed.

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DATE FILED:

NEW YORK + LONDON + HONG: KONG + CHICAGO + WASHINGTON, D.C. + BELIEWG + PARIS + LOS ANGELES & OAKLAND + MUNICH + ABU DHABI + PRINCETON + NORTHERN VIRGINIA + WILMINGTON + BIRMINGHAM + DUBAT + CENTURY OF 04/21/2008 16:32 FAX

Case 1:08-cv-01253-BSJ-HBP

Document 3

Filed 04/22/2008

Page 2 of 2

Judge Pitman April 21, 2008 Page 2 ReedSmith

Thank you for your consideration of these issues.

Respectfully submitted,

atrick E. Bradley

REB:al

cc: Todd E. Macaluso, Esq.

Stacy M. King, Esq. Hunter J. Shkolnik, Esq.